

# **Factsheet – Aquifer thermal** energy storage (ATES)

AUTHORS: A. Kleyböcker, M. Bloemendal

DATE: 18/12/2020

VERSION: v3



#### Disclaimer

This factsheet reflects only the author's view. The Agency and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and 

## **Aquifer thermal energy storage (ATES)**



#### **Unique selling points:**

- ✓ Seasonal and/or long-term energy storage of thermal energy
- ✓ Subsurface heat storage with long-term heat recovery efficiencies up to 83%
- ✓ Subsurface cooling capacity with long-term cooling efficiencies up to 93%

#### **Description of the technology**

In an aquifer thermal energy storage (**ATES**), excess heat is stored in subsurface aquifers in order to recover the heat at a later stage. The thermal energy is stored as warm groundwater. The groundwater is also used as a carrier to transport the heat to and from the subsurface. Hence, the thermal energy is stored and recovered via the production and injection of groundwater from an aquifer through wells. The capacities of ATES systems range from 0.33 MW to 20 MW (Fleuchaus et al. 2018).

Usually, the ATES is operated seasonally. In summer, the excess heat from gas or coal fired power plants, from solar plants or from cogeneration plants is transferred via heat exchangers to the cold groundwater. The resulting warm groundwater transports the heat into the aquifer, where the heat is stored. In winter, the **ATES** is operated the opposite direction by reversing the flow in the production and injection wells. Now, the stored heat is recovered from the warm groundwater via heat exchangers and used for heating purposes, while the resulting cold groundwater is reinjected in the aquifer.

Usually, the distance between the injection and production wells is between 1000 m and 2000 m (Stober and Bucher 2014). The depth of the aquifer also varies. In Berlin for example the depth of the ATES is between 30 m and 60 m in a shallow aquifer, while in Neuruppin it is at around 1700 m. In the Netherlands, most of the ATES systems use aquifers in depths between 20 m and 150 m in the subsurface (Bloemendal and Hartog 2018).

Corresponding to the depth, heat storages are operated at different temperatures. Low temperature **(LT) ATES** are operated below 30°C and are usually located in shallow aquifers, medium temperature **(MT) ATES** refer to a temperature range between 30 °C and 50°C and high temperature **(HT) ATES** are operated at 50°C and higher (Lee 2013).

In contrast to MT- and HT-ATES, due to the low temperature in **LT-ATES**, a **heat pump** is used to increase the temperature to the level required to heat the associated building such as 40 °C. Simultaneously, the extracted groundwater is cooled to a temperature between 5 °C and 8 °C. Subsequently, the cold groundwater is reinjected in the cold well. In summer, buildings can be efficiently cooled using groundwater from the cold well. This water is heated due to the cooling process by the heat pump to a temperature range between 14 °C and 18 °C. Subsequently, the heated groundwater is stored via the warm well in the **LT-ATES** for its later recovery in winter. If the cooling requires no facilities next to the low-temperature groundwater stored in the previous winter season, it is called free cooling. When excess heat





is available, e.g. from solar collectors, or waste heat, this can be added to the warm well to reduce heat pump operation or make it even redundant.

In the NextGen project, by the **MT-ATES system**, the excess of heat from solar collectors, CHP, lighting, cold store and surface water is stored in an aquifer (depth between 50 m to 180 m below surface) so that it can be recovered in winter period for heating purposes. Figure 3 shows some images of the inside of the plant.

#### Flow schemes of the technology



Fig. 1 General scheme of an HT-ATES



Fig. 2 Scheme of an LT-ATES as in NextGen (summer mode)







**Pictures/movies of the technology** 

Fig. 3 Plate heat exchangers as part of an ATES system

#### Synergetic effects and motivation for the implementation of the technology

#### ✓ Excess heat can be stored over a long time period e.g. a season or even longer

An ATES stores the heat over a long time period ranging between several months up to several years. The heat can be recovered, whenever it is needed.

#### ✓ High storage capacity

Due to the high storage mass of an ATES, its storage capacity is high compared to other thermal storages.

#### ✓ Heating and cooling dependent on the season

Simultaneously to the storage of waste heat from industrial processes and its recovery for heating purposes, also, the cold groundwater can be used for cooling processes in the summer. Thus, it can replace for example conventional air conditioning and heating units.

#### ✓ Reduction of CO₂ emissions

The ATES system allows to store and recover waste heat or to provide cool groundwater for cooling purposes. This significantly reduces the amount of fossil fuels needed for heating in winter or for cooling in summer.

#### ✓ Sewer heat recovery

Temperature levels in LT-ATES are ideally matching with sewer heat recovery. Therefore, LT-ATES is a perfect match for decoupling heat availability and heat demand in sewer heat recovery systems.

#### **Requirements of the technology and operating conditions**

The thermal storage potential of an ATES depends on the mineralogical composition of the rocks in the aquifer, the compaction, stratification, porosity and permeability of the aquifer. Furthermore, waterlogged areas of an ATES have a higher thermal storage potential due to their higher heat capacity and their higher thermal conductivity compared to areas containing air or gases (Tab.1).





Parameter	Units	Sandstone	Water bearing	Dry sand/gravel
			sand/gravel	
Specific heat capacity	kJ/(kg*K)	0.82-1.00	0.85-1.90	0.50-0.59
Vol. heat capacity	MJ/(m³*K)	1.6-2.8	2.3-3.0	1.4-1.6
Thermal conductivity	J/(s*m*K)	1.3-5.1	1.7-5.0	0.3-0.8
Porosity	%	30	> 40	
Permeability	cm²	<b>10</b> <sup>-13</sup> – <b>10</b> <sup>-9</sup>	10 <sup>-9</sup> - 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
Hydraulic conductivity	m/s	<b>10</b> <sup>-10</sup> - <b>10</b> <sup>-6</sup>	10 <sup>-6</sup> -1	

Tab. 1 Parameters influencing the thermal storage potential of an aquifer (Stober and Bucher 2014, Freeze and Cherry 1979)

In Tab. 2, examples for HT-ATES and LT-ATES are presented with their characteristics and operating conditions. The ATES in Neuruppin, Neubrandenburg and Berlin are located in north Germany, while Westland is in the Netherlands. For the LT-ATES in Berlin, two aquifers in different depths are used. For the cold store, the upper aquifer at a depth of around 60 m is used and for the heat store, the aquifer in a depth of around 320 m is used (Sanner et al. 2005). Tab. 2 shows the operating conditions for the cold store.

Parameter	Units	Example	Example	Example	NextGen
		Neuruppin	Neubranden-	Berlin	Netherlands
			burg		
		(HT-ATES)	(HT-ATES)	(LT-ATES;	(MT-ATES)
				cold store)	
Reservoir rock	-	Sandstone	Sandstone	Sand	Sand
Depth	m	1700	1250	30-60	50-180
T <sub>cold</sub> /T <sub>warm</sub>	°C	50/63	45-54/65-85	6/30	5/30-45
Injection	m³/h	50	80	300	160
flow rate (max)					
Literature		Lerm 2012	Lerm 2012	Lerm et al.	Bloemendal,
				2011	et al. 2020

Tab. 2 Characteristics and operating conditions of HT-ATES and LT-ATES (n.i. = not indicated)

#### **Key performance indicators**

Via energy and exergy analyses, the heat stored and recovered can be determined. Thereby, the thermal storage potential of an ATES depends on the mineralogical composition of the geological formation of the aquifer, its compaction, stratification and permeability. Furthermore, also the characteristics of the geothermal fluid such as its temperature, salinity and the dissolved gases influence the efficiency of the heat transfer from and to the fluid and the heat transport. The recovery factor is a typical key performance indicator showing the recovery efficiency of warm or cold stored thermal energy over the whole charge and discharge cycle (normally referred to one year). The recovery factor is defined by the ratio between the annually discharged and charged energy. Here, the operational age of an ATES plays a crucial role. According to Todorov et al. 2020, after 3 to 4 years of operation, the HRF converges. Tab. 3 shows typical values for warm and cold thermal energy recovery.





Parameter	Units	Min	Max	References	
<b>Recovery factor for</b>	-	0.57	0.89	Paci 2016, Todorov et al.	
warm thermal energy				2020; Holstenkamp et al.	
				2017, Bloemendal et al. 2020	
Recovery factor for cold	-	n.i.	0.93	Sanner 2005	
thermal energy					

Tab. 3 Recovery factors for warm and cold thermal energy (n.i. = not indicated)

In the following table, the charged and discharged energy are shown. In Neubrandenburg the HT-ATES is operated since 1987 and the LT-ATES in Berlin since 2003.

Parameter	Units	Neubrand-	Berlin	Berlin	Westland (NL)
		enburg	LT-ATES	LT-ATES	MT-ATES
		HT-ATES	(heat store)	(cold store)	
Start of operation	-	1987	2003	2003	2015
Energy charge	MWh/a	12,000	2,650	4,250	4,200
Energy discharge	MWh/a	8,600	2,050	3,950	3,750
Recovery factor	-	0.72	0.77	0.93	0.89
Reference		Paci 2016	Sanner 2005		Bloemendal et
					al. 2020

Tab. 4 Examples for annual energy charge and discharges (n.i. = not indicated)

#### Links to related topics and similar reference projects

Processes/ technologies	Reference
ATES	Case study "Westland", NextGen
Low grade heat recovery	Case study "Athens", NextGen

#### **Literature references**

- Bloemendal, M., Beernink, S., Bel, N. van, Hockin, A., Schout, G., (2020). Open bodemenergiesysteem Koppert-Cress met verhoogde opslagtemperatuur, KWR, 2020.156 <u>http://api.kwrwater.nl//uploads/2021/01/KWR-2020.156-Transitie-openbodemenergiesysteem-Koppert-Cress-naar-verhoogde-opslagtemperatuur.-Evaluatievan-energiebesparingen-en-grondwatereffecten-(OPENBAAR).pdf</u>
- Bloemendal, M., Hartog, N. (2018). Analysis of the impact of storage conditions on the thermal recovery efficiency of low-temperature ATES systems. Geothermics, 71, 306-319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2017.10.009
- Fleuchaus, P., Godschalk, B., Stober, I., Blum, P. (2018). Worldwide application of aquifer thermal energy storage – A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 94, 861-876. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.06.057</u>

Freeze, R., Cherry, J. (1979). Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Engelwood Cliffs NJ.

- Holstenkamp, L., Meisel, M., Neidig, P., Opel, O., Steffahn, J., Strodel, N., Lauer, J., Vogel, M., Degenhart, H., Michalzik, D., Schomerus, T., Schönebeck, J., Növig, T. (2017): Interdisciplinary review of medium-deep aquifer thermal energy storage in North Germany. Energy Procedia, 135, 327-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.524</u>
- Lee, K (2013). Underground Thermal Energy Storage. Springer London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4273-7.





- Lerm, S., Alawi, M., Miethling-Graff, R., Wolfgramm, M., Rauppach, K., Seibt, A., Würdemann, H. (2011). Influence of microbial processes on the operation of a cold store in a shallow aquifer: impact on well injectivity and filter lifetime. Grundwasser Zeitschrift der Fachsektion Hydrogeologie, 16, 93-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00767-011-0165-x</u>.
- Lerm, S. (2012). Mikroorganismen in geothermischen Aquiferen Einfluss mikrobieller Prozesse auf den Anlagenbetrieb, Dissertation, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät, Universität Potsdam. <u>http://opus.kobv.de/ubp/volltexte/2013/6370/</u>.
- Paci, L., Pasquinelli, L., Fabricius L. (2016). Overview of high temperature aquifer thermal energy storage (HT-ATES): Challenges and strengths, Center for energy resources engineering and Technical University Denmark, https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1554.3928.
- Sanner, B., Kabus, F., Seibt, P., Bartels, J. (2005). Underground thermal energy storage for the German parliament in Berlin, system concept and operational experiences. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress, Antalya, Turkey, 24.-29. April 2005. <u>http://www.sanner-geo.eu/media/1438.pdf</u>
- Stober, I., Bucher, K. (2014). Geothermie, Lehrbuch. Springer Spektrum, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41763-4
- Todorov, O., Alanne, K., Virtanen, M., Kosonen, R. (2020). A method and analysis of aquifer thermal energy storage (ATES) system for direct heating and cooling: A case study in Finland. Sustainable Cities and Society, 53, 101977. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101977</u>

#### Outlook

Case study specific information will be provided, when the results of the other work packages are available:

- Lessons learned from the case study
- Outcome of the assessments
- Legal and regulatory information concerning the whole value chain concerning the technology
- Business opportunities

