Case study factsheet

LaTrappe, Netherlands

Last update on 2022-12-06

Project NextGen

LaTrappe Koningshoeven Abbey Brewery , **Netherlands**



LaTrappe, Netherlands

Published in the Water Europe Marketplace

Description

The Koningshoeven BioMakery is a biological wastewater treatment system based on modular and functional reactor based ecological engineering. The BioMakery is powered by Metabolic Network Reactor (MNR) technology, which uses 2-3,000 different species of organisms ranging from bacteria to higher level organisms such as plants. The BioMakery serves as a test facility for advanced circular space technology developed within the micro-ecological life support system alternative (MELiSSA) program of ESA. SEMiLLA formerly known as IPStar has a mandate to implement this technology in civil society. Coupling MNR with MELiSSA advanced separation and photobioreaction based technologies, reusable process and/or irrigation water will be produced, while also growing biomass that can be used as slow-release fertilizer for the plant nursery, as fish fodder, or as human food.

Technology performance and best practices

Metabolic Network Reactor (MNR) coupled to a Micro-Ecologial Life Support System Alternative (MELiSSA) advanced separation systems (MF/RO)

Before the installation of the MNR and MELiSSA systems the effluent from the brewery was released into the public sewerage network of Tilburg. The treatment took place in the Tilburg municipal wastewater treatment plant, located approximately 9km from the brewery, at the opposite end of the city. The transport of high strength wastewater over long distances can cause issues in the sewer lines, such as deposition of solids and anaerobic decomposition resulting in emission of odors and corrosive gases.

The performance expected of the MNR unit was with a 99% of water yield, a 97.6%, 99.7% and 99% of BOD, COD and turbidity were removed. Assuming stable operation of the MNR, high quality effluent could be produced. However, due to lower than expected MNR effluent water quality it was not possible to determine KPIs in terms of flux, energy consumption for the membrane systems. Quality parameters were at or above expectations after membrane filtration. For example, the conductivity reduction rate was 95%. The turbidity reduction rate was 25%. The COD reduction is equal to 90%. More details are provided in D1.3.

Protein production from wastewater

In La Trappe (NL), a photobioreactor was demonstrated to treat brewery wastewater and urine in a mainstream to produce proteins that can be used as a slow release fertiliser. In general, this technology is also capable to produce proteins that can be used as fodder or even food additive. The TRL of the technology is still low between 5 and 6 and further investigations are needed. The recovery rates were 38% for COD, 20% for N and 25% for P. The photobioreactor produced roughly 0.48 g TSS biomass per litre wastewater. This corresponds to a production of around 276 to 575 kg of dried biomass per year to be used as a slow release fertiliser. In NextGen, the proteins were successfully tested as a slow release fertiliser to grow microgreens. Furthermore, this technology is also very well suited to treat concentrates from membrane treatments. More details are provided in D1.5.

Outcome of assessments

Life cycle assessment

The metabolic network reactor can deliver a high effluent quality, but its energy and chemical demand should be further optimised to compete with other benchmark technology. MNR effluent can be treated with capillary nanofiltration membranes to enable reuse as process water in the brewery, decreasing water stress on local groundwater resources at a reasonable increase in CO_2e footprint. Further LCA results can be found in D2.1

Life cycle costing & cost effectiveness analysis

The implementation of a metabolic network reactor, complemented by microfiltration and dissolved air flotation for brewery effluent treatment can be considered cost effective compared to the past baseline situation, where the brewery paid a specific price per volume of brewery effluent discharged to the local WWTP. The biggest cost drivers of this NextGen circular solution are CAPEX, insurance & maintenance and energy input. Further LCC and CEA results can be found in D2.2

Legislation and policy recommendations


In NextGen, we analysed the policy and regulatory landscape to identify relevant opportunities for and barriers to upscaling circular economy in the water sector. Our recommendations, targeted at the revised Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive, are summarised in our policy brief. These recommendations focus on creating meaningful incentives and policy drivers towards circular systems (e.g., through energy and carbon neutrality targets, and additional guidance on water recycling) and simplifying the route to market for recovered products (e.g., fertilisers and other materials). Check out our policy brief.

Applied technologies

- **MELiSSA advanced separation systems**
- **Microalgae and purple phototrophic bacteria production in a photobioreactor**

Applied product

NEXTGEN + ULTIMATE Life Cycle Assessment



https://mp.watereurope.eu/d/Product/24

Publications and references

- Alloul, A., Cerruti, M., Adamczyka, D., Weissbrodt, D. G., & Vlaeminck, S. E., Control tools to selectively produce purple bacteria for microbial protein in raceway reactors, Scientific article, 2018
- Kleyböcker, A., Kenyeres, I., Poor-Pocsi, E., Nättorp, A., Loreggian, L., Schaub, M., Egli, C., Grozavescu, M., Murariu, M., Radu, B., Scheer, P., Lindeboom, R., Giurgiu, R., Suters, R., Heinze, J., Soares, A., Vale, P., Kim, J., Lanham, A., Hofman, J., D1.5 (2022) New approaches and best practices for closing materials cycle in the water sector, Project report, *NextGen, GA N°776541,* 2022
- Lindeboom, REF De Paepe, J Vanoppen, M Alonso-Fariñas, B Coessens, W Alloul, A Christiaens, MER Dotremont, C Beckers, H Lamaze, B, A five-stage treatment train for water recovery from urine and shower water for long-term human Space missions, Scientific paper / Journal, *Elsevier,* 2020
- Mishra, A. K., Kaushik, M. S., & Tiwari, D., Nitrogenase and Hydrogenase: Enzymes for Nitrogen Fixation and Hydrogen Production in Cyanobacteria, Scientific paper / Journal, *Elsevier,* 2019
- Nättorp, A., Misev, V., D2.2 (2022) Economic assessment and cost efficiency analysis of NextGen demo cases solutions, Project report, *NextGen, GA N°776541,* 2022
- Plana Puig, Q., Nanu, C., Groza, I., Soares, A., Vale, P., Monokrousou, K., Makropoulos, C., Katsouras, G., Tsalas, N., Tazes, N., Tsimnadis, K., Lindeboom, R., Plata, C., Suters, R., Kenyeres, I., Plà, M., Filipsson, S., Hedman, F., Kim, J., Hofman, J.,, D1.3 New approaches and best practices for closing the water cycle, Project report, *NextGen,* 2022
- Remy, C., Kraus, F., Conzelmann, L., Seis, W., Zamzow, M., D2.1 (2022) Environmental life cycle assessment and risk analysis of NextGen demo case solutions, Project report, *NextGen, GA N°776541,* 2022

Scale

Operational scale of this case study related to the application of tools and technologyies

- Local scale

Challenge

Challenge that is addressed through the application of tools and/or technologies to the case study

- Increasing water demand by growing industrial sectors

Related tags

Resource recovery | Water reuse | European Space Agency

Contact data

Contact person

Ralph Lindeboom, Semilla (ralph.lindeboom@semilla.io); Erzsébet Poór-Pócsi, Biopolus (erzsebet.pocsi@biopolus.net); István Kenyeres, Biopolus (istvan.kenyeres@biopolus.net); Ruud Schemen, DeDommel Waterboard (RSchemen@dommel.nl)

Involved organisations

1. Biopolus
2. SEMiLLA IPStar
3. Waterschap De Dommel

URL

https://nextgenwater.eu/demonstration-cases/la-trappe/